

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 T1 PAYMENTS LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

16 NEW U LIFE CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-01816-ART-DJA

**ORDER APPROVING
ERRATA TO JOINT STIPULATION TO
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (ECF
No. 247) ON PAYVISION B.V.'S
MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND
AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM
(ECF No. 239)**

(First Request)

Current Opposition Date: June 27, 2022
Requested Opposition Date: July 11, 2022

Current Reply Date: July 5, 2022
Requested Reply Date: July 25, 2022

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM

Pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1, Defendant and Counterclaimant New U Life Corporation (“New U Life”) and Counterclaim Defendant Payvision B.V. (“Payvision”) (collectively, the “Stipulating Parties”) jointly file this Errata to ECF No. 247. Specifically, the date of Payvision’s Reply should have been July 25, 2022 and not July 18, 2022.

Accordingly, and for good cause shown in ECF No. 247, the Stipulating Parties respectfully request that the Court grant the following extensions to the briefing schedule:

	<u>Current date:</u>	<u>New date:</u>
New U Life's Opposition:	June 27, 2022	July 11, 2022
Payvision's Reply:	July 5, 2022	July 25, 2022

By: /s/ Brianna Dahlberg
BROWN BROWN & PREMSRIRUT
Puoy K. Premsrirut
ROME & ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
Brianna Dahlberg (*pro hac vice*)
Eugene Rome (*pro hac vice*)

Attorneys for New U Life Corporation

/s/ Giorgio A. Sassine
GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER & SENET
Richard Edward Haskin
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
Nathan D. O'Malley
Peter J. Diedrich
Giorgio A. Sassine

Attorneys for Payvision B.V.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

**ANNE R. TRAUM
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

DATED: June 23, 2022